UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,412	06/13/2006	Jae Keol Rhee	TRIUS.002NP	6355
20995 7590 01/29/2009 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			EXAMINER	
			MORRIS, PATRICIA L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/29/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/596,412	RHEE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Patricia L. Morris	1625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	-· action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
·						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-16 and 23-50 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 17-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/31/08.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te				

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 17-22 are under consideration in this application.

Claims 1-16 and 23-50 remain are held withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to nonelected subject matter 37 CFR 1.142(b).

Election/Restrictions

Julie Burke, SPRE has directed that the restriction requirement be rewritten to include claims 17, 21 and 22 with claims 18-22 based on an alleged conversation with applicants. It is noted that election of claims 18-20 was <u>WITHOUT TRAVERSE</u> in the reply filed on March 19, 2008. Hence, claims 17-22 are drawn to one patentable invention. Hence, a reference for one process will be a reference for the all the claims 17-22. Further, applicants are merely claiming an obvious process well known in the prior art and fails to make any contribution at all to the prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chen et al. (US 7,129,259).

Art Unit: 1625

Chen et al., therein. Hence, the process is deemed to be anticipated therefrom.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combined teachings of Lee et al. (US 6,689,779), Chen et al., Fukuda I (US 2005/0038092), II (US 2007/0185132) and Barbachyn et al. I (US 5,523,403), II (US 5,565,571).

Lee et al., Chen et al., Fukuda I, II and Barbachyn et al. I, II disclose the instant processes. Lee et al. generically embrace the claimed process. Note scheme 4 whrein position 4 of the phenyl is displaced with trimethyl stannyl by reaction with hexamethylditin in the presence of a palladium catalyst whereas, Chen et al. teach an iodination reaction using N-iodosuccinimide and the reaction of the compound of formula (V) with an amino acid. Note

Application/Control Number: 10/596,412 Page 4

Art Unit: 1625

scheme 1, lines 35-45, in column 57 and columns 111-112 therein. Fukuda I, II and Barbachyn et al. I, II teach halogenation using iodine monochloride in acetic acid or acetic acid/ trifluoroacetic acid or with iodine and silver trifluoroacetate or with iodine and silver trifluoroacetate or the compound can be brominated using N-bromosuccinimide. Note column 10, lines 39-49 in column 10 or preparation 18 or last reaction in columns 29-30 of Barbachyn et al. II or reference example 49 of Fukuda I or reference example 22 of Fukuda II. The prior art processes of Lee et al., Fukuda et al. II and Barbachyn et al. I, II differ only in the starting material. However, Chen et al. recites that the instant alcohol of formula (II) may be used. As here, a phenyl ring is halogenated in the same position by a bromine or iodine halogenating agent and then reacted with trimethyl stannyl. The reaction of a specific phenyl compound with a halogenating agent ot tin reagent does not render the process step itself patentable, anew; In re Albertson, 141 USPQ 730, which was specifically reaffirmed on the last page of In re Kuchl, 177 USPQ 250.

One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the process of the prior art with the expectation of obtaining the desired product, because he would have expected the analogous starting materials to react similarly. It has been held that application of an old process to a new and analogous material to obtain a result consistent with the teachings of the art would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Art Unit: 1625

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Morris whose telephone number is (571) 272-0688.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Patricia L. Morris/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/596,412

Art Unit: 1625

Page 6